

Hecho Relevante de BBVA RMBS 5 FONDO DE TITULIZACIÓN DE ACTIVOS

En virtud de lo establecido en el apartado 4.1.4 del Módulo Adicional a la Nota de Valores del Folleto Informativo de **BBVA RMBS 5 Fondo de Titulización de Activos** (el "**Fondo**") se comunica a la COMISIÓN NACIONAL DEL MERCADO DE VALORES el presente hecho relevante:

- La Agencia de Calificación **Standard & Poor's Ratings Services** ("**S&P**"), con fecha 30 de octubre de 2015, comunica que ha confirmado la calificación asignada a la siguiente Serie de Bonos emitidos por el Fondo:
 - Serie A: A- (sf)

Asimismo, S&P ha bajado las calificaciones asignadas a las restantes Series de Bonos:

• Serie B: BBB+ (sf) (anterior, A- (sf))

• Serie C: BBB- (sf) (anterior, BBB (sf))

Se adjunta la comunicación emitida por S&P.

Madrid, 2 de noviembre de 2015.

Mario Masiá Vicente Director General



McGRAW HILL FINANCIAL Various Rating Actions Taken In Spanish RMBS Transaction BBVA RMBS 5 Following Restructuring

30-Oct-2015 12:29 EDT

View Analyst Contact Information

OVERVIEW

As part of BBVA RMBS 5's restructuring, the issuer lowered the required reserve fund balance to $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{C}}250$ million.

Following our credit and cash flow analysis of the new structure, we have taken various rating actions in the transaction to reflect the decrease in available credit enhancement.

BBVA RMBS 5 is a Spanish RMBS transaction, which securitizes a portfolio of first-ranking mortgage loans granted to Spanish residents.

MADRID (Standard & Poor's) Oct. 30, 2015--Standard & Poor's Ratings Services today took various credit rating actions in BBVA RMBS 5, Fondo de Titulizacion de Activos.

Specifically, we have:

Affirmed our 'A- (sf)' rating on the class A notes; and Lowered our ratings on the class B and C notes (see list below).

Today's rating actions follow a restructuring of the transaction whereby the issuer lowered the required reserve fund balance to &250 million from &296.549 million. Consequently, the available credit enhancement the reserve fund provides has been reduced to 8.66% from 10.28% of the notes' balance. Before the restructuring took place, the reserve fund was below its required level of &413.91 million because it was used to provision for defaults.

As with other Spanish transactions, interest and principal are combined into a single priority of payments.

As a result of the decrease in available credit enhancement, the class B and C notes are unable to support their current ratings. We have therefore lowered to 'BBB+ (sf)' from 'A- (sf)' and to 'BBB- (sf)' from 'BBB (sf)' our ratings on the class B and C notes, respectively. The results of our credit and cash flow analysis show that the available credit enhancement for the senior notes is still commensurate with a 'A- (sf)' rating. Therefore, we have affirmed our 'A- (sf)' rating on the class A notes.

Delinquency levels in the portfolio backing this transaction have stabilized over the past two years. Arrears of 90+ days represent only 0.56% of the outstanding balance of the pool and total arrears represent 2.19% of the outstanding collateral balance, which is below the Spanish residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) index (see "Spanish RMBS Index Report 02 2015: Index Composition Boosts Collateral Performance Slightly," published on Sept. 11, 2015). There are interest deferral triggers based on the ratio of cumulative defaults over the initial collateral balance of the class B and C notes. If this ratio is higher than 14.00% and 10.33% interest on the class B and C notes, respectively, interest will be paid after principal amortization. Cumulative defaults currently represent 6.86% of the initial collateral balance. In our view, the class C trigger is far from breaching.

Our credit analysis results show a decrease in the weighted-average

foreclosure frequency (WAFF) and weighted-average loss severity (WALS) since our Dec. 22, 2014 review (see "Various Rating Actions Taken In BBVA RMBS 5, 9, 10, And 11 Following Application Of Updated Criteria").

Rating level	WAFF (%)	WALS (%)	CC (%)
	BBVA RMBS	5 Current	review
AAA	22.38	53.64	12.00
AA	16.62	50.27	8.35
A	13.61	43.52	5.92
BBB	9.88	39.65	3.92
BB	6.29	36.82	2.32
В	5.23	34.10	1.78
	BBVA RMBS	5 Decembe	er 2014
AAA	BBVA RMBS		
AAA AA			
	24.12	58.23	14.05
AA	24.12 17.69	58.23 54.45 47.33	14.05 9.63
AA A	24.12 17.69 14.48	58.23 54.45 47.33	14.05 9.63 6.85
AA A BBB	24.12 17.69 14.48 10.45	58.23 54.45 47.33 43.11	14.05 9.63 6.85 4.50

CC--Credit coverage.

The decrease in the WAFF is mainly due to the adjustment that we apply to seasoned loans, the decrease of interest-only loans in the pool, and the decrease in total arrears. The decrease in the WALS is mainly due to the decrease in the weighted-average current loan-to-value ratio and the decrease in market value decline assumptions since our previous review. The overall effect is a decrease in the required credit coverage for each rating level since our previous review.

Counterparty Risk

We consider that the transaction's documented replacement mechanisms adequately mitigate its counterparty risk exposure to Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria S.A. (BBVA), as bank account provider, up to a 'A-' rating level under our current counterparty criteria (see "Counterparty Risk Framework Methodology And Assumptions," published on June 25, 2013). The transaction is exposed to the risk of cash collections becoming commingled in BBVA's account. If our long-term rating on BBVA falls below 'BBB-', within 10 calendar days, BBVA should deposit in the issuer's bank account an amount equal to the commingling reserve amount to be applied to pay any amounts the servicer fails to pay the issuer for the loans. According to our current counterparty criteria, setting up this commingling reserve fully mitigates commingling risk. The rating on the class A notes is capped at 'A- (sf)' by our counterparty criteria.

Sovereign Risk

Under our criteria for rating single-jurisdiction securitizations above the sovereign foreign currency rating (RAS criteria), we applied a hypothetical sovereign default stress test to determine whether a tranche has sufficient credit and structural support to withstand a sovereign default and so repay timely interest and principal by legal final maturity (see "Methodology And Assumptions For Ratings Above The Sovereign--Single-Jurisdiction Structured Finance," published on May 29, 2015). The class A notes have sufficient credit enhancement to withstand our "severe" stresses (see "Understanding Standard & Poor's Rating Definitions," published on June 3, 2009 for our definitions of severe levels of economic stress). However, they do not pass all of the conditions under paragraph 44 of the RAS criteria. Consequently, our RAS criteria permit a maximum four-notch rating uplift above the sovereign rating for the class A notes.

However, in light of the cap under our current counterparty criteria, we have affirmed our 'A- (sf)' rating on the class A notes. Although our credit and cash flow results indicate that the available credit enhancement for the class B notes is commensurate with a higher rating, the tranche does not pass our cash flow stresses under our RAS criteria. These criteria therefore constrain our rating on the class B notes at the level of the foreign currency long-term sovereign rating on Spain, which is 'BBB+'. We have therefore lowered to 'BBB+ (sf)' from 'A- (sf)' our rating on the class B notes. The results of our cash flow analysis indicate that available credit enhancement for the class C notes is commensurate with a 'BBB- (sf)' rating. Therefore, we have lowered to 'BBB-(sf)' from 'BBB (sf)' our rating on the class C notes.

RELATED CRITERIA AND RESEARCH

Related Criteria

Methodology And Assumptions For Ratings Above The Sovereign--Single-Jurisdiction Structured Finance, May 29, 2015 Criteria For Global Structured Finance Transactions Subject To A Change In Payment Priorities Or Sale Of Collateral Upon A Nonmonetary EOD, March 2, 2015

Global Framework For Assessing Operational Risk In Structured Finance Transactions, Oct. 9, 2014

Italy And Spain RMBS Methodology And Assumptions, Sept. 18, 2014

Europe Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Criteria--Structured Finance, Sept. 13, 2013

Counterparty Risk Framework Methodology And Assumptions, June 25, 2013 Criteria Methodology Applied To Fees, Expenses, And Indemnifications, July 12, 2012

Global Investment Criteria For Temporary Investments In Transaction Accounts, May 31, 2012

Methodology: Credit Stability Criteria, May 3, 2010

Understanding Standard & Poor's Rating Definitions, June 3, 2009

Related Research

Eurozone Economic Outlook: Steady For Now, Despite Slower World Trade, Sept. 30, 2015

Spanish RMBS Index Report 02 2015: Index Composition Boosts Collateral Performance Slightly, Sept. 11, 2015

2015 EMEA RMBS <u>Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis</u>, Aug. 6, 2015

ow Lending Rates And Stronger Economic Growth Are Reviving Europe's Housing Markets, July 30, 2015

Despite The Turmoil In Greece, Europe's Fragile Growth Continues, July 14

Eurozone Economic Outlook: Will The Catch-Up Lead To A Let-Down?, July 1, 2015

Various Rating Actions Taken In BBVA RMBS 5, 9, 10, And 11 Following Application Of Updated Criteria, Dec. 22, 2014

Outlook Assumptions For The Spanish Residential Mortgage Market, Sept.

European Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2014: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, July 8, 2014 Global Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis:

Understanding The Effects Of Macroeconomic Factors On Credit Quality,

July 2, 2014

RATINGS LIST

Class Rating To From

BBVA RMBS 5, Fondo de Titulización de Activos & Billion Residential Mortgage-Backed Floating-Rate Notes

Rating Affirmed

A = A = (sf)

Ratings Lowered

B BBB+ (sf) A- (sf)
C BBB- (sf) BBB (sf)

Rocio Romero, Madrid (34) 91-389-6968;

Surveillance Credit Analyst:

rocio.romero@standardandpoors.com

Structured Finance Europe;

Additional Contact: StructuredFinanceEurope@standardandpoors.com

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P. The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P, its affiliates, and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P's opinions and analyses do not address the suitability of any security. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain credit-related analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

Any Passwords/user IDs issued by S&P to users are single user-dedicated and may ONLY be used by the individual to whom they have been assigned. No sharing of passwords/user IDs and no simultaneous access via the same password/user ID is permitted. To reprint, translate, or use the data or information other than as provided herein, contact Client Services, 55 Water Street, New York, NY 10041; (1) 212-438-7280 or by e-mail to: research_request@standardandpoors.com.

Legal Disclaimers
Careers at S&P Ratings Services
Terms of Use
Privacy and Cookie Notice

Copyright © 2015 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

Reproduction and distribution of this information in any form is prohibited except with the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's. Standard & Poor's does not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of any information, including ratings, and is not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, or for the results obtained from the use of such information. STANDARD & POOR'S GIVES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE. STANDARD & POOR'S shall not be liable for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including lost income or profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of this information, including ratings. Standard & Poor's ratings are statements of opinions and are not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase hold or sell securities. They do not address the market value of securities or the suitability of securities for investment purposes, and should not be relied on as investment advice. Please read our complete disclaimer here