S&P Global Ratings

RatingsDirect®

Ratings Raised On Bancaja 11's Class A Spanish RMBS Notes Following Review; Class B, C, D, And E Notes Affirmed

Primary Credit Analyst:

Rocio Romero, Madrid (34) 91-389-6968; rocio.romero@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Nicola Dobson, London (44) 20-7176-3879; nicola.dobson@spglobal.com

OVERVIEW

- We have reviewed Bancaja 11 by conducting our credit and cash flow analysis and by applying our relevant criteria.
- Following our review, we have raised to 'AA+ (sf)' and 'AA- (sf)' from 'A- (sf)' our ratings on the class A2 and A3 notes, respectively.
- At the same time, we have affirmed our 'D (sf)' ratings on the class B, C, D, and E notes.
- Bancaja 11 is a Spanish RMBS transaction, which closed in July 2007 and securitizes mortgage loans. Caja de Ahorros de Valencia Castellón y Alicante (Bancaja; now Bankia) originated the pool, which is mainly located in the Valencia region.

MADRID (S&P Global Ratings) March 23, 2017--S&P Global Ratings today raised to 'AA+ (sf)' and 'AA- (sf)' from 'A- (sf)' its credit ratings on Bancaja 11, Fondo de Titulizacion de Activos' class A2 and A3 notes, respectively. At the same time, we have affirmed our 'D (sf)' ratings on the class B, C, D, and E notes (see list below).

Today's rating actions follow our credit and cash flow analysis of the most recent transaction information that we have received as of the December 2016 investor report. Our analysis reflects the application of our European residential loans criteria, our structured finance ratings above the sovereign

(RAS) criteria, and our current counterparty criteria (see "Methodology And Assumptions: Assessing Pools Of European Residential Loans," published on Dec. 23, 2016, "Ratings Above The Sovereign - Structured Finance: Methodology And Assumptions," published on Aug. 8, 2016, and "Counterparty Risk Framework Methodology And Assumptions," published on June 25, 2013).

The class B, C, and D notes feature interest deferral triggers of 10.90%, 7.40%, and 5.62%, based on cumulative defaults as a percentage of the closing portfolio balance, respectively. The current cumulative defaults represent 11.60%. As a consequence, all the interest deferral triggers have been breached and these subordinated classes of notes consequently defaulted. Therefore, we previously lowered our ratings on these classes of notes to 'D (sf)'.

Credit enhancement, considering performing collateral only, for the class A notes has increased to 8.32% from 7.53% at our previous review, due to the sequential amortization (see "Ratings Raised On Bancaja 11's Class A Spanish RMBS Notes Following Sovereign Upgrade; Class B Rating Lowered," published on Dec. 30, 2015). Over the same period, credit enhancement for the subordinated notes has decreased due to increasing defaults in the portfolio. At the same time, our projected losses at the 'AA' rating category have decreased to 4.20% from 9.57% at our previous review. This improvement is due to the increase in the weighted-average seasoning, the current loan-to-value ratios, and repossession market value declines, as well as the decrease in the arrears levels. The class B, C, and D notes are currently undercollateralized and the reserve fund is fully depleted. The class E notes were never collateralized as they were issued to fund the cash reserve at closing.

Class	Avallable credit	
	enhancement,	excluding
	defaulted	loans (%)
A		8.32
В		1.48
C		(1.12)
D		(3.29)
E		(5.78)

01000

Arrailable amadit

Severe delinquencies of more than 90 days have decreased to 1.53% from 4.67% at our last review and are currently lower for this transaction than our Spanish residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) index (see "Spanish RMBS Index Report Q3 2016," published on Dec. 13, 2016). However, severe delinquencies have rolled into defaults. Defaults are defined as mortgage loans in arrears for more than 18 months in this transaction. Cumulative defaults, at 11.6%, are higher than in other Spanish RMBS transactions that we rate. Consequently, the reserve fund is fully depleted due to being used to provision for defaults. Prepayment levels remain low and the transaction is unlikely to pay down significantly in the near term, in our opinion.

Our rating actions also reflect our analysis of the most recent transaction information that we have received for the January 2017 interest payment date (IPD). We have applied our European residential loans criteria, our current counterparty criteria, and our RAS criteria.

Under our RAS criteria, we applied a hypothetical sovereign default stress test to determine whether a tranche has sufficient credit and structural support to withstand a sovereign default and so repay timely interest and principal by legal final maturity.

Under our current counterparty criteria, HSBC Bank PLC, as the swap provider, cannot support a rating on the notes that is higher than 'AA', which is our long-term issuer credit rating on HSBC Bank plus one notch. We have therefore performed our credit and cash flow analysis without giving benefit to the swap provider, to determine if the class A2 and A3 notes could achieve a higher rating when giving no benefit to the swap provider.

The class A2 and A3 notes benefit from flows diverted from the subordinated notes following the interest deferral trigger breaches and from increased credit enhancement. Our credit and cash flow analysis indicates that the class A2 and A3 notes now have sufficient credit enhancement to withstand our stresses at the 'AAA' rating level. However, our RAS criteria cap our ratings in this transaction at six notches above our 'BBB+' foreign currency long-term sovereign rating on the Kingdom of Spain for the class A2 notes and at four notches above our rating on Spain for the class A3 notes. We have therefore raised to 'AA+ (sf)' and 'AA- (sf)' from 'A- (sf)' our ratings on the class A2 and A3 notes, respectively. Our ratings on both the class A2 and A3 notes are de-linked from our rating on the swap provider.

We have affirmed our 'D (sf)' rating on the class B, C, D, and E notes as they continue to miss interest payments.

In our opinion, the outlook for the Spanish residential mortgage and real estate market is not benign and we have therefore increased our expected 'B' foreclosure frequency assumption to 3.33% from 2.00%, when we apply our European residential loans criteria, to reflect this view (see "Outlook Assumptions For the Spanish Residential Mortgage Market," published on June 24, 2016). We base these assumptions on our expectation of modest economic growth, continuing high unemployment, and house prices stabilization during 2017.

Bancaja 11 is a Spanish RMBS transaction backed by a pool of first-ranking mortgages secured over owner-occupied residential properties in Spain, which closed in July 2007. Caja de Ahorros de Valencia Castellón y Alicante (Bancaja; now Bankia S.A.) originated the underlying collateral.

RELATED CRITERIA

- Criteria Structured Finance General: Methodology And Assumptions: Assessing Pools Of European Residential Loans, Dec. 23, 2016
- Criteria Structured Finance General: Ratings Above The Sovereign Structured Finance: Methodology And Assumptions, Aug. 08, 2016
- Criteria Structured Finance General: Methodology: Criteria For Global Structured Finance Transactions Subject To A Change In Payment Priorities Or Sale Of Collateral Upon A Nonmonetary EOD, March 02, 2015
- Criteria Structured Finance General: Global Framework For Assessing Operational Risk In Structured Finance Transactions, Oct. 09, 2014
- General Criteria: Methodology: Timeliness Of Payments: Grace Periods, Guarantees, And Use Of 'D' And 'SD' Ratings, Oct. 24, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology Applied To Bank Branch-Supported Transactions, Oct. 14, 2013
- Legal Criteria: Europe Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Criteria--Structured Finance, Sept. 13, 2013
- Criteria Structured Finance General: Counterparty Risk Framework Methodology And Assumptions, June 25, 2013
- Criteria Structured Finance General: Criteria Methodology Applied To Fees, Expenses, And Indemnifications, July 12, 2012
- General Criteria: Methodology: Credit Stability Criteria, May 03, 2010
- Criteria Structured Finance General: Standard & Poor's Revises Criteria Methodology For Servicer Risk Assessment, May 28, 2009

RELATED RESEARCH

- European Economic Snapshots: Resilience Despite Political Risk, Feb. 28, 2017
- A Stronger Eurozone Economy, Despite Higher Volatility On Bond Markets, Feb. 20, 2017
- Europe's Housing Markets Continue To Recover Amid Extended QE, Feb. 15, 2017
- QE In The Eurozone: Lower For Longer Means Lower For Longer, Jan. 23, 2017
- Global Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016
- European Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016
- Spanish RMBS Index Report Q3 2016, Dec. 13, 2016
- 2017: Europe's Year of Wait And See, Dec. 1, 2016
- Outlook Assumptions For the Spanish Residential Mortgage Market, June 24, 2016
- Ratings Raised On Bancaja 11's Class A Spanish RMBS Notes Following Sovereign Upgrade; Class B Rating Lowered, Dec. 30, 2015
- 2015 EMEA Structured Credit Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis, Aug. 6, 2015

RATINGS LIST

Ratings Raised On Bancaja 11's Class A Spanish RMBS Notes Following Review; Class B, C, D, And E Notes
Affirmed

Class Rating To From

Bancaja 11, Fondo de Titulizacion de Activos €2.023 Billion Mortgage-Backed Floating-Rate Notes

Ratings Raised

A2 AA+ (sf) A- (sf) A3 AA- (sf) A- (sf)

Ratings Affirmed

B D (sf)
C D (sf)
D D (sf)
E D (sf)

Copyright © 2017 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.