Global Credit Research - 02 Oct 2014

Frankfurt am Main, October 02, 2014 -- Moody's Investors Service has today upgraded the ratings on seven notes and affirmed three notes in five Spanish asset-backed securities (ABS) transactions.

Today's upgrades reflect (1) the increase in the Spanish local-currency country ceiling to A1 and (2) the increase of credit enhancement (CE) in the affected transactions over the last 12 months.

Today's rating action concludes the review of seven notes placed on review on 17 March 2014, following the upgrade of the Spanish sovereign rating to Baa2 from Baa3 and the resulting increase in the local currency country ceiling to A1 from A3 (http://www.moodys.com/viewresearchdoc.aspx?docid=PR_292078). The sovereign rating upgrade reflects improvements in institutional strength and reduced susceptibility to event risk associated with lower government liquidity and banking sector risks.

Four of these five transactions are ABS backed by loans to small to medium-sized enterprise (SME) and individuals. BBVA Leasing 1 is an ABS transaction backed by leases to SMEs and individuals. All five deals were originated in Spain by Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A. (Baa2/P-2).

Please refer to the end of the ratings rationale section for a list of affected ratings.

RATINGS RATIONALE

-- Reduced sovereign risk

Moody's upgraded the Spanish sovereign rating to Baa2 in February 2014, which resulted in an increase in the local currency country ceiling to A1. The Spanish country ceiling, and therefore the maximum rating that Moody's will assign to a domestic Spanish issuer, including structured finance transactions backed by Spanish receivables, is A1 (sf).

The increase in CE, combined with the improving performance and the reduction in sovereign risk, has prompted the upgrade of the notes.

-- Key collateral assumptions

Moody's has revised its volatility assumption in those transactions given the reduced country risk. Most assumptions remain unchanged given the improving performance of the transactions and the stable outlook for Spanish ABS (http://www.moodys.com/viewresearchdoc.aspx?docid=PBS_SF373727). The default probability (DP) was lowered in BBVA Hipotecario 3, FTA from 11% to 9% on the current balance to reflect current pool characteristics and performance, but DPs were left unchanged for the other deals. Moody's left the recovery assumptions unchanged. The portfolio CEs were lowered in BBVA 3 FTPYME, FTA and BBVA Hipotecario 3, FTA, to reflect the good performance of these pools so far.

In BBVA 3 FTPYME, FTA, the unchanged DP on the current balance of 8.7% (corresponding to a DP on the original balance of 2.46%), together with the unchanged recovery rate of 50% and a volatility of 79.7% corresponds to an updated portfolio CE of 20.0% lowered from 23.7% given updated pool characteristics.

In BBVA 5 FTPYME, FTA, the unchanged DP on the current balance of 12% (corresponding to a DP on the original balance of 7.04%), together with the recovery rate of 45% and a volatility of 64.9% corresponds to an unchanged portfolio CE of 23.9%.

In BBVA 6 FTPYME, FTA, the unchanged DP on the current balance of 16.3% (corresponding to a DP on original balance of 10.32%), together with the unchanged recovery rate of 45.0% and a volatility of 56%, corresponds to an unchanged portfolio CE of 26.6%.

In BBVA Hipotecario 3, FTA, the DP on the current balance of 9% (corresponding to a DP on the original balance
of 4.38%), lowered from 11%, together with the unchanged recovery rate of 55% and a volatility of 89.7% corresponds to an updated portfolio CE of 20.0% lowered from 23% given updated pool characteristics.

In BBVA Leasing 1, FTA, the unchanged DP on the current balance of 14.7 % (corresponding to a DP on original balance of 7.89%), together with the unchanged recovery rate of 30.0% and a volatility of 47%, corresponds to an unchanged portfolio CE of 27.7%.

-- Exposure to Counterparties

Moody’s rating analysis also took into consideration the exposure to key transaction counterparties. In those five transactions, Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A. (Baa2/P-2), Société Générale (A2/P-1) and Deutsche Bank AG (A3/P-2) perform various roles, including the roles of servicer, account bank and swap provider.

In these deals, two outstanding tranches still benefit from a state guarantee. BBVA 6 FTPYME, FTA will thus need to reimburse EUR19.5M provided by the Government of Spain (Baa2/P-2) under the FTPYME guarantee for the A2(G) notes. In BBVA 5 FTPYME, FTA, the European Investment Fund (Aaa) also guarantees the A1(sf) rated Class C.

PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in rating BBVA 3 FTPYME, FTA, BBVA Hipotecario 3, FTA, BBVA 5 FTPYME, FTA and BBVA 6 FTPYME, FTA was Moody’s Global Approach to Rating SME Balance Sheet Securitisations published in January 2014. The principal methodology used in rating BBVA Leasing 1, FTA was Moody’s Approach to Rating ABS Backed by Equipment Leases and Loans published in December 2013. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moodys.com for a copy of these methodologies.

Factors that would lead to an upgrade or downgrade of the rating:

Factors or circumstances that could lead to an upgrade of the ratings include (1) further reduction in sovereign risk, (2) better than expected performance of the underlying collateral, (3) deleveraging of the capital structure and (4) improvements in the credit quality of the transaction counterparties.

Factors or circumstances that could lead to a downgrade of the ratings include (1) an increase in sovereign risk, (2) worse than expected performance of the underlying collateral, (3) deterioration in the notes’ available CE and (4) deterioration in the credit quality of the transaction counterparties.

LIST OF AFFECTED RATINGS:

Issuer: BBVA 3 FTPYME, FTA

....EUR40.8M B Notes, Affirmed A1 (sf); previously on Mar 17, 2014 Upgraded to A1 (sf)

....EUR18.6M C Notes, Upgraded to A1 (sf); previously on Mar 17, 2014 Baa2 (sf) Placed Under Review for Possible Upgrade

Issuer: BBVA 5 FTPYME, FTA

....EUR39.9M B Notes, Upgraded to A1 (sf); previously on Mar 17, 2014 Baa2 (sf) Placed Under Review for Possible Upgrade

Issuer: BBVA 6 FTPYME, FTA

....EUR215.5M A2(G) Notes, Upgraded to A1 (sf); previously on Mar 17, 2014 A3 (sf) Placed Under Review for Possible Upgrade

....EUR50.3M B Notes, Affirmed Caa1 (sf); previously on Apr 10, 2013 Downgraded to Caa1 (sf)

Issuer: BBVA Hipotecario 3, FTA

....EUR925.7M A2 Notes, Affirmed A1 (sf); previously on Mar 17, 2014 Upgraded to A1 (sf)

....EUR55.9M B Notes, Upgraded to A1 (sf); previously on Mar 17, 2014 Baa3 (sf) Placed Under Review for Possible Upgrade
EUR18.9M C Notes, Upgraded to A3 (sf); previously on Mar 17, 2014 B3 (sf) Placed Under Review for Possible Upgrade

Issuer: BBVA Leasing 1, FTA

EUR750M A1 Notes, Upgraded to A1 (sf); previously on Mar 17, 2014 Baa3 (sf) Placed Under Review for Possible Upgrade

EUR1606.2M A2 Notes, Upgraded to A1 (sf); previously on Mar 17, 2014 Baa3 (sf) Placed Under Review for Possible Upgrade

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For further specification of Moody’s key rating assumptions and sensitivity analysis, see the sections Methodology Assumptions and Sensitivity to Assumptions of the disclosure form.

Moody’s did not receive or take into account a third-party assessment on the due diligence performed regarding the underlying assets or financial instruments related to the monitoring of these transactions in the past six months.

The analysis relies on an assessment of collateral characteristics to determine the collateral loss distribution, that is, the function that correlates to an assumption about the likelihood of occurrence to each level of possible losses in the collateral. As a second step, Moody’s evaluates each possible collateral loss scenario using a model that replicates the relevant structural features to derive payments and therefore the ultimate potential losses for each rated instrument. The loss a rated instrument incurs in each collateral loss scenario, weighted by assumptions about the likelihood of events in that scenario occurring, results in the expected loss of the rated instrument.

As the section on loss and cash flow analysis describes, Moody’s quantitative analysis entails an evaluation of scenarios that stress factors contributing to sensitivity of ratings and take into account the likelihood of severe collateral losses or impaired cash flows. Moody’s weights the impact on the rated instruments based on its assumptions of the likelihood of the events in such scenarios occurring.

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody’s rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider’s credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

For any affected securities or rated entities receiving direct credit support from the primary entity(ies) of this rating action, and whose ratings may change as a result of this rating action, the associated regulatory disclosures will be those of the guarantor entity. Exceptions to this approach exist for the following disclosures, if applicable to jurisdiction: Ancillary Services, Disclosure to rated entity, Disclosure from rated entity.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody’s legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.
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SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY’S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY’S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY’S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY’S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY’S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody’s Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY’S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY’S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY’S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY’S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY’S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY’S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody’s Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and
preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from $1,500 to approximately $2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.
Frankfurt am Main, October 02, 2014 – Moody’s Investors Service has today upgraded the ratings on seven notes and affirmed three notes in five Spanish asset-backed securities (ABS) transactions.

Today’s upgrades reflect (1) the increase in the Spanish local-currency country ceiling to A1 and (2) the increase of credit enhancement (CE) in the affected transactions over the last 12 months.

Today’s rating action concludes the review of seven notes placed on review on 17 March 2014, following the upgrade of the Spanish sovereign rating to Baa2 from Baa3 and the resulting increase in the local currency country ceiling to A1 from A3 (http://www.moodys.com/viewresearchdoc.aspx?docid=PR_292078). The sovereign rating upgrade reflects improvements in institutional strength and reduced susceptibility to event risk associated with lower government liquidity and banking sector risks.

Four of these five transactions are ABS backed by loans to small to medium-sized enterprise (SME) and individuals. BBVA Leasing 1 is an ABS transaction backed by leases to SMEs and individuals. All five deals were originated in Spain by Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A. (Baa2/P-2).

Please refer to the end of the ratings rationale section for a list of affected ratings.

RATINGS RATIONALE

-- Reduced sovereign risk

Moody’s upgraded the Spanish sovereign rating to Baa2 in February 2014, which resulted in an increase in the local currency country ceiling to A1. The Spanish country ceiling, and therefore the maximum rating that Moody’s will assign to a domestic Spanish issuer, including structured finance transactions backed by Spanish receivables, is A1 (sf).

The increase in CE, combined with the improving performance and the reduction in sovereign risk, has prompted the upgrade of the notes.

-- Key collateral assumptions

Moody’s has revised its volatility assumption in those transactions given the reduced country risk. Most assumptions remain unchanged given the improving performance of the transactions and the stable outlook for Spanish ABS (http://www.moodys.com/viewresearchdoc.aspx?docid=PBS_SF373727). The default probability (DP) was lowered in BBVA Hipotecario 3, FTA from 11% to 9% on the current balance to reflect current pool characteristics and performance, but DPs were left unchanged for the other deals. Moody’s left the recovery assumptions unchanged. The portfolio CE’s were lowered in BBVA 3 FTPYME, FTA and BBVA Hipotecario 3, FTA, to reflect the good performance of these pools so far.

In BBVA 3 FTPYME, FTA, the unchanged DP on the current balance of 8.7% (corresponding to a DP on the original balance of 2.46%), together with the unchanged recovery rate of 50% and a volatility of 79.7% corresponds to an updated portfolio CE of 20.0% lowered from 23.7% given updated pool characteristics.

In BBVA 5 FTPYME, FTA, the unchanged DP on the current balance of 12% (corresponding to a DP on the original balance of 7.04%), together with the recovery rate of 45% and a volatility of 64.9% corresponds to an unchanged portfolio CE of 23.9%.

In BBVA 6 FTPYME, FTA, the unchanged DP on the current balance of 16.3% (corresponding to a DP on original balance of 10.32%), together with the unchanged recovery rate of 45.0% and a volatility of 56%, corresponds to an unchanged portfolio CE of 26.6%.

In BBVA Hipotecario 3, FTA, the DP on the current balance of 9% (corresponding to a DP on the original balance
of 4.38%), lowered from 11%, together with the unchanged recovery rate of 55% and a volatility of 89.7% corresponds to an updated portfolio CE of 20.0% lowered from 23% given updated pool characteristics.

In BBVA Leasing 1, FTA, the unchanged DP on the current balance of 14.7 % (corresponding to a DP on original balance of 7.89%), together with the unchanged recovery rate of 30.0% and a volatility of 47%, corresponds to an unchanged portfolio CE of 27.7%.

-- Exposure to Counterparties

Moody’s rating analysis also took into consideration the exposure to key transaction counterparties. In those five transactions, Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A. (Baa2/P-2), Société Générale (A2/P-1) and Deutsche Bank AG (A3/P-2) perform various roles, including the roles of servicer, account bank and swap provider.

In these deals, two outstanding tranches still benefit from a state guarantee. BBVA 6 FTPYME, FTA will thus need to reimburse EUR19.5M provided by the Government of Spain (Baa2/P-2) under the FTPYME guarantee for the A2(G) notes. In BBVA 5 FTPYME, FTA, the European Investment Fund (Aaa) also guarantees the A1(sf) rated Class C.

PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in rating BBVA 3 FTPYME, FTA, BBVA Hipotecario 3, FTA, BBVA 5 FTPYME, FTA and BBVA 6 FTPYME, FTA was Moody’s Global Approach to Rating SME Balance Sheet Securitisations published in January 2014. The principal methodology used in rating BBVA Leasing 1, FTA was Moody’s Approach to Rating ABS Backed by Equipment Leases and Loans published in December 2013. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moodys.com for a copy of these methodologies.

Factors that would lead to an upgrade or downgrade of the rating:

Factors or circumstances that could lead to an upgrade of the ratings include (1) further reduction in sovereign risk, (2) better than expected performance of the underlying collateral, (3) deleveraging of the capital structure and (4) improvements in the credit quality of the transaction counterparties.

Factors or circumstances that could lead to a downgrade of the ratings include (1) an increase in sovereign risk, (2) worse than expected performance of the underlying collateral, (3) deterioration in the notes’ available CE and (4) deterioration in the credit quality of the transaction counterparties.

LIST OF AFFECTED RATINGS:

Issuer: BBVA 3 FTPYME, FTA
....EUR40.8M B Notes, Affirmed A1 (sf); previously on Mar 17, 2014 Upgraded to A1 (sf)

....EUR18.6M C Notes, Upgraded to A1 (sf); previously on Mar 17, 2014 Baa2 (sf) Placed Under Review for Possible Upgrade

Issuer: BBVA 5 FTPYME, FTA
....EUR39.9M B Notes, Upgraded to A1 (sf); previously on Mar 17, 2014 Baa2 (sf) Placed Under Review for Possible Upgrade

Issuer: BBVA 6 FTPYME, FTA
....EUR215.5M A2(G) Notes, Upgraded to A1 (sf); previously on Mar 17, 2014 A3 (sf) Placed Under Review for Possible Upgrade

....EUR50.3M B Notes, Affirmed Caa1 (sf); previously on Apr 10, 2013 Downgraded to Caa1 (sf)

Issuer: BBVA Hipotecario 3, FTA
....EUR925.7M A2 Notes, Affirmed A1 (sf); previously on Mar 17, 2014 Upgraded to A1 (sf)

....EUR55.9M B Notes, Upgraded to A1 (sf); previously on Mar 17, 2014 Baa3 (sf) Placed Under Review for Possible Upgrade
EUR18.9M C Notes, Upgraded to A3 (sf); previously on Mar 17, 2014 B3 (sf) Placed Under Review for Possible Upgrade

Issuer: BBVA Leasing 1, FTA

EUR750M A1 Notes, Upgraded to A1 (sf); previously on Mar 17, 2014 Baa3 (sf) Placed Under Review for Possible Upgrade

EUR1606.2M A2 Notes, Upgraded to A1 (sf); previously on Mar 17, 2014 Baa3 (sf) Placed Under Review for Possible Upgrade

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For further specification of Moody's key rating assumptions and sensitivity analysis, see the sections Methodology Assumptions and Sensitivity to Assumptions of the disclosure form.

Moody's did not receive or take into account a third-party assessment on the due diligence performed regarding the underlying assets or financial instruments related to the monitoring of these transactions in the past six months.

The analysis relies on an assessment of collateral characteristics to determine the collateral loss distribution, that is, the function that correlates to an assumption about the likelihood of occurrence to each level of possible losses in the collateral. As a second step, Moody's evaluates each possible collateral loss scenario using a model that replicates the relevant structural features to derive payments and therefore the ultimate potential losses for each rated instrument. The loss a rated instrument incurs in each collateral loss scenario, weighted by assumptions about the likelihood of events in that scenario occurring, results in the expected loss of the rated instrument.

As the section on loss and cash flow analysis describes, Moody's quantitative analysis entails an evaluation of scenarios that stress factors contributing to sensitivity of ratings and take into account the likelihood of severe collateral losses or impaired cash flows. Moody's weights the impact on the rated instruments based on its assumptions of the likelihood of the events in such scenarios occurring.

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

For any affected securities or rated entities receiving direct credit support from the primary entity(ies) of this rating action, and whose ratings may change as a result of this rating action, the associated regulatory disclosures will be those of the guarantor entity. Exceptions to this approach exist for the following disclosures, if applicable to jurisdiction: Ancillary Services, Disclosure to rated entity, Disclosure from rated entity.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Ludovic Thebault
Analyst
Structured Finance Group
Moody's Deutschland GmbH
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preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from $1,500 to approximately $2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS’s ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading “Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy.”

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY’S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody’s Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY’S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY’S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY’S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.