En virtud de lo establecido en el Folieta Informativo de BBVA RMBS 1 FONDO DE TITULIZACIÓN DE ACTIVOS (el “Fondo”) se comunica a la COMISIÓN NACIONAL DEL MERCADO DE VALORES la presente información relevante:

La Agencia de Calificación Moody’s Investors Service (“Moody’s”) con fecha 3 de agosto de 2021, comunica que ha elevado la calificación asignada a las siguientes Series de Bonos emitidos por el Fondo:

- Serie B: Aa2 (sf) (anterior A1 (sf))
- Serie C: Ba2 (sf) (anterior B2 (sf))

Asimismo, Moody’s ha confirmado las calificaciones asignadas a las restantes Series de Bonos:

- Serie A2: Aa1 (sf)
- Serie A3: Aa1 (sf)

Se adjunta la comunicación emitida por Moody’s.

Madrid, 29 de septiembre de 2021.
Rating Action: Moody's upgrades ratings in three BBVA RMBS deals

03 Aug 2021

Milan, August 03, 2021 -- Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's") has today upgraded the ratings of seven notes and affirmed the ratings of seven notes in three Spanish RMBS deals. The rating action reflects better than expected collateral performance for BBVA RMBS 1, FTA and BBVA RMBS 2, FTA and the increased levels of credit enhancement for the affected notes.

Moody's affirmed the ratings of the notes that had sufficient credit enhancement to maintain the current ratings on the affected notes.

Issuer: BBVA RMBS 1, FTA

....EUR1400M Class A2 Notes, Affirmed Aa1 (sf); previously on Feb 10, 2020 Affirmed Aa1 (sf)
....EUR495M Class A3 Notes, Affirmed Aa1 (sf); previously on Feb 10, 2020 Affirmed Aa1 (sf)
....EUR120M Class B Notes, Upgraded to Aa2 (sf); previously on Feb 10, 2020 Upgraded to A1 (sf)
....EUR85M Class C Notes, Upgraded to Ba2 (sf); previously on Feb 10, 2020 Upgraded to B2 (sf)

Issuer: BBVA RMBS 2, FTA

....EUR387.5M Class A3 Notes, Affirmed Aa1 (sf); previously on Feb 10, 2020 Upgraded to Aa1 (sf)
....EUR1050M Class A4 Notes, Affirmed Aa1 (sf); previously on Feb 10, 2020 Upgraded to Aa1 (sf)
....EUR112.5M Class B Notes, Affirmed Baa3 (sf); previously on Feb 10, 2020 Upgraded to Baa3 (sf)
....EUR100M Class C Notes, Upgraded to Ba2 (sf); previously on Feb 10, 2020 Affirmed Caa2 (sf)

Issuer: BBVA RMBS 3, FTA

....EUR1200M Class A1 Notes, Upgraded to A1 (sf); previously on Mar 10, 2020 Upgraded to Baa1 (sf)
....EUR595.5M Class A2 Notes, Upgraded to A1 (sf); previously on Mar 10, 2020 Upgraded to Baa1 (sf)
....EUR681.03M Class A3a Notes, Affirmed Aa1 (sf); previously on Mar 10, 2020 Affirmed Aa1 (sf)
....EUR136.21M Class A3b Notes, Affirmed A1 (sf); previously on Mar 10, 2020 Affirmed A1 (sf)
....EUR63.56M Class A3c Notes, Upgraded to Ba1 (sf); previously on Mar 10, 2020 Affirmed Ba2 (sf)
....EUR27.24M Class A3d Notes, Upgraded to B1 (sf); previously on Mar 10, 2020 Affirmed B3 (sf)

Maximum achievable rating is Aa1 (sf) for structured finance transactions in Spain, driven by the corresponding local currency country ceiling of the country.

RATINGS RATIONALE

The rating action is prompted by:

- decreased key collateral assumptions, namely both the portfolio Expected Loss (EL) and MILAN CE assumptions for BBVA RMBS 1, FTA and only the MILAN CE assumption for BBVA RMBS 2, FTA due to better than expected collateral performance

- an increase in credit enhancement for the affected tranches

Revision of Key Collateral Assumptions RMBS
As part of the rating action, Moody's reassessed its lifetime loss expectation for the portfolio reflecting the collateral performance to date.

The performance of BBVA RMBS 1, FTA and BBVA RMBS 2, FTA has continued to improve since the respective last rating actions. Total delinquencies have decreased in the past year, with 90 days plus arrears currently standing at, respectively, 0.26\% and 0.20\% of their current pool balances. Cumulative defaults currently stand at, respectively, 6.40\% and 6.46\% of their original pool balances, not materially up from, respectively, 6.29\% and 6.36\% a year earlier. The performance of BBVA RMBS 3, FTA has remained substantially stable and the absolute amount of cumulative defaults as a percentage of the original pool balance is higher than what we can observe on BBVA RMBS 1, FTA and BBVA RMBS 2, FTA at 14.20\% as of the latest interest payment date.

Moody's decreased the expected loss assumption for BBVA RMBS 1, FTA to 5.13\% as a percentage of original pool balance from 5.52\% due to the improving performance. The expected loss assumptions were kept unchanged at their current levels of 4.50\% and 10.79\% as a percentage of the respective original pool balances for BBVA RMBS 2, FTA and BBVA RMBS 3, FTA.

Moody's has also assessed loan-by-loan information as a part of its detailed transaction review to determine the credit support consistent with target rating levels and the volatility of future losses. As a result, Moody's has decreased the MILAN CE assumption to 15.00\% and 11.00\% from 17.10\% and 13.10\%, respectively, for BBVA RMBS 1, FTA and BBVA RMBS 2, FTA.

Increase in Available Credit Enhancement

Sequential amortization and the partial replenishment of the reserve funds led to the increase in the credit enhancement available in BBVA RMBS 1, FTA and BBVA RMBS 2, FTA. For BBVA RMBS 3, FTA the increase in the credit enhancement was caused by the decreasing amount of unpaid principal deficiency ledger and sequential amortization.

For instance, the credit enhancement for Class B in BBVA RMBS 1, FTA increased to 15.70\% from 12.38\% since the last rating action; the credit enhancement for Class C in BBVA RMBS 2, FTA increased to 2.81\% from 1.66\% since the last rating action; finally, the credit enhancement for Class A1 in BBVA RMBS 3, FTA, when considered pro rata with Classes A2 and A3, increased to 7.93\% from 6.43\% since the last rating action.

If certain performance-related triggers were to be cured, including the reserve fund being replenished at its target level, then issuer available funds could be allocated to repay mezzanine and junior notes to reach target ratios (percentages of outstanding notes) contemplated in the transactions' documentation. This could entail that the amortization of senior notes could be stopped for at least some interest payment date, until such target ratios on mezzanine and junior notes are reached. Given the current sizes of the draws on the respective reserve funds, this might happen sooner on BBVA RMBS 2, FTA and BBVA RMBS 1, FTA while this is less likely to happen on BBVA RMBS 3, FTA given the current large level of unpaid principal deficiency ledger and the fact that the reserve fund is currently fully drawn.

Moody's has also considered that if the reserve funds get replenished at their target levels then they are going to be amortized to their floor levels at the immediately following interest payment date. This could potentially happen sooner on BBVA RMBS 2, FTA and BBVA RMBS 1, FTA while it less likely that this could occur in BBVA RMBS 3, FTA give the current draw on the reserve fund and the large level of unpaid principal deficiency ledger.


The analysis undertaken by Moody's at the initial assignment of ratings for RMBS securities may focus on aspects that become less relevant or typically remain unchanged during the surveillance stage. Please see "Moody's Approach to Rating RMBS Using the MILAN Framework" for further information on Moody's analysis at the initial rating assignment and the on-going surveillance in RMBS.

Factors that would lead to an upgrade or downgrade of the ratings:

Factors or circumstances that could lead to an upgrade of the ratings include: (i) performance of the underlying collateral that is better than Moody's expected; (ii) an increase in available credit enhancement; (iii)
improvements in the credit quality of the transaction counterparties; and (iv) a decrease in sovereign risk.

Factors or circumstances that could lead to a downgrade of the ratings include: (i) an increase in sovereign risk; (ii) performance of the underlying collateral that is worse than Moody's expected; (iii) deterioration in the notes' available credit enhancement; and (iv) deterioration in the credit quality of the transaction counterparties.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For further specification of Moody's key rating assumptions and sensitivity analysis, see the sections Methodology Assumptions and Sensitivity to Assumptions in the disclosure form. Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions can be found at: https://www.moodys.com/researchdocumentcontentpage.aspx?docid=PBC_79004.

The analysis relies on an assessment of collateral characteristics to determine the collateral loss distribution, that is, the function that correlates to an assumption about the likelihood of occurrence to each level of possible losses in the collateral. As a second step, Moody's evaluates each possible collateral loss scenario using a model that replicates the relevant structural features to derive payments and therefore the ultimate potential losses for each rated instrument. The loss a rated instrument incurs in each collateral loss scenario, weighted by assumptions about the likelihood of events in that scenario occurring, results in the expected loss of the rated instrument.

Moody's quantitative analysis entails an evaluation of scenarios that stress factors contributing to sensitivity of ratings and take into account the likelihood of severe collateral losses or impaired cash flows. Moody's weights the impact on the rated instruments based on its assumptions of the likelihood of the events in such scenarios occurring.

For ratings issued on a program, series, category/class of debt or security this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series, category/class of debt, security or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the credit rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular credit rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

For any affected securities or rated entities receiving direct credit support from the primary entity(ies) of this credit rating action, and whose ratings may change as a result of this credit rating action, the associated regulatory disclosures will be those of the guarantor entity. Exceptions to this approach exist for the following disclosures, if applicable to jurisdiction: Ancillary Services, Disclosure to rated entity, Disclosure from rated entity.

The ratings have been disclosed to the rated entity or its designated agent(s) and issued with no amendment resulting from that disclosure.

These ratings are solicited. Please refer to Moody's Policy for Designating and Assigning Unsolicited Credit Ratings available on its website www.moodys.com.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Moody's general principles for assessing environmental, social and governance (ESG) risks in our credit analysis can be found at http://www.moodys.com/researchdocumentcontentpage.aspx?docid=PBC_1288435.

The Global Scale Credit Rating on this Credit Rating Announcement was issued by one of Moody's affiliates outside the UK and is endorsed by Moody's Investors Service Limited, One Canada Square, Canary Wharf, London E14 5FA under the law applicable to credit rating agencies in the UK. Further information on the UK endorsement status and on the Moody's office that issued the credit rating is available on www.moodys.com.
UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY’S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY’S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY’S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

MOODY’S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY’S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided “AS IS” without warranty of any kind. MOODY’S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY’S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY’S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing its Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY’S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY’S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY’S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY’S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY’S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY’S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody’s Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody’s Corporation (“MCO”), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody’s Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to Moody’s Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from $1,000 to approximately $5,000,000. MCO and Moody’s Investors Service also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody’s Investors Service credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody’s Investors Service and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is
posted annually at [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) under the heading “Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy.”

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY’S affiliate, Moody’s Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody’s Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to “wholesale clients” within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY’S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a “wholesale client” and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to “retail clients” within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY’S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY550,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.