

**Otra Información Relevante de HIPOCAT 10 FONDO DE TITULIZACION DE ACTIVOS**

En virtud de lo establecido en el Folleto Informativo de **HIPOCAT 10 FONDO DE TITULIZACION DE ACTIVOS** (el “**Fondo**”) se comunica a la COMISIÓN NACIONAL DEL MERCADO DE VALORES la presente información relevante:

La Agencia de Calificación **Fitch Ratings** (“**Fitch**”) con fecha 23 de enero de 2026, comunica que ha confirmado la calificación asignada a la siguiente Serie de Bonos emitidos por el Fondo:

- **Serie B:** **AA+sf**
- **Serie C:** **CCsf**
- **Serie D:** **Csf**

Se adjunta la comunicación emitida por Fitch.

Madrid, 26 de enero de 2026

**RATING ACTION COMMENTARY****Fitch Upgrades 4 Tranches Hipocat RMBS**

Fri 23 Jan, 2026 - 11:10 ET

Fitch Ratings - Madrid - 23 Jan 2026: Fitch Ratings has upgraded three tranches of Hipocat 9, FTA and one tranche of Hipocat 11, FTA and affirmed the others. Fitch has also affirmed Hipocat 10, FTA's notes. A full list of rating actions is below.

**RATING ACTIONS**

ENTITY / DEBT ♦	RATING ♦	PRIOR ♦
<hr/>		
Hipocat 11, FTA		
Class A2 ES0345672010	LT AA+sf Rating Outlook Stable Affirmed	AA+sf Rating Outlook Stable
Class B ES0345672036	LT BBB-sf Rating Outlook Stable Upgrade	BB+sf Rating Outlook Stable
Class C ES0345672044	LT CCsf Affirmed	CCsf
Class D ES0345672051	LT Csf Affirmed	Csf

## Hipocat 9, FTA

Class A2a ES0345721015	LT	AAAsf Rating Outlook Stable	AA+sf Rating Outlook Stable
		Upgrade	
Class A2b ES0345721023	LT	AAAsf Rating Outlook Stable	AA+sf Rating Outlook Stable
		Upgrade	
Class B ES0345721031	LT	AAAsf Rating Outlook Stable	AA+sf Rating Outlook Stable
		Upgrade	
Class C ES0345721049	LT	AA+sf Rating Outlook Stable	AA+sf Rating Outlook Stable
		Affirmed	
Class D ES0345721056	LT	Asf Rating Outlook Stable	Affirmed
			Asf Rating Outlook Stable
Class E ES0345721064	LT	Csf	Affirmed
			Csf

## Hipocat 10, FTA

Class B ES0345671046	LT	AA+sf Rating Outlook Stable	AA+sf Rating Outlook Stable
		Affirmed	
Class C ES0345671053	LT	CCsf	Affirmed
			CCsf

Class D  
ES0345671061

LT Csf Affirmed

Csf

## [VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS](#)

## TRANSACTION SUMMARY

The transactions are Spanish residential mortgage securitisations serviced by Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A. (BBVA, A-/Stable/F1).

## KEY RATING DRIVERS

**Hipocat 9 PIR Mitigated:** Fitch deems payment interruption risk (PIR) for Hipocat 9 as mitigated up to the 'AAA' rating case in the event of a servicer disruption. This reflects the stable cash reserve projected to cover stressed senior fees, net swap payments and senior notes interest due amounts for at least three months, sufficient to implement an alternative arrangement. This supports the upgrades of Hipocat 9's class A2a and A2b notes. For Hipocat 10 and 11, PIR is unmitigated due to depletion of the reserve funds.

**CE Build-Up:** Fitch views credit enhancement (CE) for the notes as sufficient to fully compensate the credit and cash flow stresses associated with the ratings. We expect structural CE to continue increasing, driven by the mandatory sequential amortisation of the notes. However, the zero or negative CE protection for all deals' most junior tranches as well as Hipocat 10 and 11's class C notes is a driving factor of their distressed ratings.

**No Further Drawdowns (Criteria Variation):** Fitch's analysis of the portfolios assumed that no further drawdowns on the mortgages up to the permitted maximum limit will be granted, instead of full application previously. This is due to the recent information received from transaction parties indicating zero or residual instances of further drawdowns granted to the securitised loans since closing.

This constitutes a variation from our European RMBS Criteria, which uses the higher between the total credit limit and the current principal balance when estimating foreclosure frequency (FF) and current loan to value (CLTV) rates. The impact of this variation on the model-implied rating is more than six notches for Hipocat 11's class B notes and it partly explains their upgrade to 'BBB-sf'.

**Stable Asset Performance Outlook:** The rating actions reflect the transactions' broadly stable asset performance expectation, in line with our neutral outlook for eurozone RMBS. The transactions maintain a low share of loans in arrears over 90 days (at or below 0.7% of

outstanding pool balance excluding defaults as of the latest reporting dates), have ample seasoning of more than 20 years and weighted average non-indexed CLTVs of under 40%.

When calibrating the portfolio FF rates, Fitch applies a transaction adjustment of 1.5x to the three transactions to reflect its general assessment of the pools based on their historical performance data. The revised portfolio credit analysis is driven by the criteria's minimum loss vector (e.g. 5% at AAAsf).

**Deferred Interest and Outstanding PDL:** There is outstanding deferred interest on all transactions' junior notes that is not expected to be repaid before legal maturity, in line with the stressed nature of their ratings. For Hipocat 11, there is also a material share of principal deficiency ledger (PDL) outstanding (28% of the collateralised notes balance) and the reserve fund is fully depleted. Consequently, Fitch has upgraded to a rating lower than the model-implied rating. We expect Hipocat 11's class B notes deferred interest to be repaid before final maturity.

**Counterparty Risk Constraints:** Hipocat 9's class D notes' rating is capped at the transaction account bank (TAB) provider deposit rating (Societe Generale A-/Stable/F1, deposit rating A) as the transaction's cash reserves held at this entity represent the only source of structural CE for the notes and the sudden loss of these funds would imply a model-implied downgrade of 10 or more notches in accordance with Fitch's criteria.

Hipocat 10 and 11 continue to be exposed to PIR as their cash reserve funds are fully depleted. Consequently, these transactions have an elevated ESG Relevance Score for Transaction & Collateral Structure due to unmitigated PIR that has a negative impact on the credit profile and is highly relevant to the ratings.

## **RATING SENSITIVITIES**

### **Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade**

Long-term asset performance deterioration, such as increased delinquencies or larger defaults, which could be driven by changes to macroeconomic conditions, interest rate increases or borrower behavior, could lead to downgrades.

For Hipocat 9's class D notes, a downgrade of the TAB provider's deposit rating as the notes are rated at their maximum achievable rating due to excessive counterparty risk exposure.

### **Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade**

Notes rated 'AAAsf' are at the highest level on Fitch's scale and cannot be upgraded.

For Hipocat 11's class B notes, an increase in CE ratios as the transaction deleverages to fully compensate for the credit losses, cash flow stresses commensurate with higher ratings and for outstanding PDL and deferred interest may result in upgrades.

For Hipocat 9's class D notes, an upgrade of the TAB provider's deposit rating as the notes are rated at their maximum achievable rating due to excessive counterparty exposure.

## **CRITERIA VARIATION**

Fitch's analysis assumed that no further drawdowns on the mortgages up to the permitted maximum limit will be granted, instead of full application as we did before. This is due to the recent information received from transaction parties indicating zero or residual instances of further drawdowns granted to the securitised loans since the closing dates.

This constitutes a variation from the European RMBS Criteria, which uses the higher between the total credit limit and the current principal balance when estimating FF and CLTV rates. The impact of this variation on the model-implied rating is more than six notches for Hipocat 11's class B notes and it partly explains their upgrade to 'BBB-sf'.

## **USE OF THIRD PARTY DUE DILIGENCE PURSUANT TO SEC RULE 17G -10**

Form ABS Due Diligence-15E was not provided to, or reviewed by, Fitch in relation to this rating action.

## **DATA ADEQUACY**

Hipocat 10, FTA, Hipocat 11, FTA, Hipocat 9, FTA

Fitch has checked the consistency and plausibility of the information it has received about the performance of the asset pools and the transactions. Fitch has not reviewed the results of any third-party assessment of the asset portfolio information or conducted a review of origination files as part of its ongoing monitoring.

Fitch did not undertake a review of the information provided about the underlying asset pool[s] ahead of the transactions' initial closing. The subsequent performance of the transactions over the years is consistent with the agency's expectations given the operating environment and Fitch is therefore satisfied that the asset pool information relied upon for its initial rating analysis was adequately reliable.

Overall, and together with any assumptions referred to above, Fitch's assessment of the information relied upon for the agency's rating analysis according to its applicable rating methodologies indicates that it is adequately reliable.

## **REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING**

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

## **PUBLIC RATINGS WITH CREDIT LINKAGE TO OTHER RATINGS**

Hipocat 9's class D notes' rating is directly linked to their TAB's long-term deposit rating due to excessive counterparty dependence.

## **ESG CONSIDERATIONS**

Hipocat 10 and 11 have an ESG Relevance Score of 5 for Transaction & Collateral Structure due to unmitigated PIR at the 'AAA' rating case, which has a negative impact on the credit profile, and is highly relevant to the ratings, resulting in a change to the rating of at least one notch downgrade.

For Hipocat 9, the ESG Relevance Score has been revised to '3' from '5' as we assess PIR as mitigated.

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit

<https://www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores>.

## **FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS**

**Francisco Saez**

Associate Director

Surveillance Rating Analyst

+34 91 702 5775

[francisco.saez@fitchratings.com](mailto:francisco.saez@fitchratings.com)

Fitch Ratings Ireland Spanish Branch, Sucursal en España  
Pza de Pablo Ruiz Picasso 1 Torre Picasso 19th floor Madrid 28020

## **Federica Fabrizi**

Senior Director  
Committee Chairperson  
+39 02 9475 7283  
[federica.fabrizi@fitchratings.com](mailto:federica.fabrizi@fitchratings.com)

## **MEDIA CONTACTS**

### **Athos Larkou**

London  
+44 20 3530 1549  
[athos.larkou@thefitchgroup.com](mailto:athos.larkou@thefitchgroup.com)

Additional information is available on [www.fitchratings.com](http://www.fitchratings.com)

## **PARTICIPATION STATUS**

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

## **APPLICABLE CRITERIA**

[Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Counterparty Rating Criteria \(pub. 28 Nov 2023\)](#)

[Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Counterparty Rating Criteria: Derivative Addendum \(pub. 28 Nov 2023\)](#)

[European RMBS Rating Criteria \(pub. 11 Apr 2025\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Country Risk Rating Criteria \(pub. 17 Jun 2025\)](#)

[Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Interest Rate Stresses Rating Criteria \(pub. 24 Oct 2025\)](#)

[Global Structured Finance Rating Criteria \(pub. 05 Dec 2025\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

## **APPLICABLE MODELS**

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Multi-Asset Cash Flow Model, v3.7.0 ([1](#))

ResiGlobal Model: Europe, v1.11.2 ([1](#))

## **ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES**

[Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form](#)

[Solicitation Status](#)

[Endorsement Policy](#)

## **ENDORSEMENT STATUS**

Hipocat 10, FTA	EU Issued, UK Endorsed
Hipocat 11, FTA	EU Issued, UK Endorsed
Hipocat 9, FTA	EU Issued, UK Endorsed

## **DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES**

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers.

Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link:

<https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following

<https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at

<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third- party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Fitch also provides information on best-case rating upgrade scenarios and worst-case rating downgrade scenarios (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in each direction) for international credit ratings, based on historical performance. A simple average across asset classes presents best-case upgrades of 4 notches and worst-case downgrades of 8 notches at the 99th percentile. For more

details on sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, please see [Best- and Worst-Case Measures](#) under the Rating Performance page on Fitch's website.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the

Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the “NRSRO”). While certain of the NRSRO’s credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the “non-NRSROs”) and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dv01, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2026 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

[READ LESS](#)

## **SOLICITATION STATUS**

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

Fitch's solicitation status policy can be found at [www.fitchratings.com/ethics](https://www.fitchratings.com/ethics).

## **ENDORSEMENT POLICY**

Fitch’s international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch’s approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch’s [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch’s website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.